A Gentle Introduction to Machine Learning in Natural Language Processing using R

ESSLLI '2013 Düsseldorf, Germany

http://ufal.mff.cuni.cz/mlnlpr13

Barbora Hladká hladka@ufal.mff.cuni.cz Martin Holub holub@ufal.mff.cuni.cz

Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics

- 5.1 Cross-validation and confidence intervals
- 5.2 13 points you cannot miss on the way to ML
- 5.3 Overview of the course



Block 5.1 Cross-validation and confidence intervals

The evaluation process



Block 5.1 Cross-validation and confidence intervals

The evaluation process



Is it enough to test your classifier on one test set? You can get a good/bad result by chance!

ESSLLI '2013

Hladká & Holub

The more test data, the more confident evaluation ...



k-fold cross-validation

Development working data is partitioned into k subsets of equal size.

Then you do k iterations.

In the *i*-th step of the iteration, the *i*-th subset is used as a test set, while the remaining parts form the training set.

Example



6-fold cross-validation process

When you get k different results from the cross-validation experiment, what can you conclude then?

1 One Sample t-test

to test if the mean of a (normally distributed) population is equal to a given value

2 Paired Two-Sample t-test

to test if the difference of the means of two populations is equal to a given value, assuming that the given sample contains paired individuals

You have two models, A and B, and for each of them 10 results – accuracies obtained from 10-fold cross-validation experiment.

```
> A.acc
[1] 0.853 0.859 0.863 0.871 0.832 0.848 0.863 0.860 0.850 0.849
> mean(A.acc)
[1] 0.8548
> B.acc
[1] 0.851 0.848 0.862 0.871 0.835 0.836 0.860 0.859 0.841 0.843
> mean(B.acc)
[1] 0.8506
```

The average accuracy of **A** is 85.48 %, while the average accuracy of **B** is only 85.06 %.

Is the model A *really* better than the model B?

ESSLLI '2013

To test if the difference between the models **A** and **B** is **statistically significant** we will check **confidence intervals** for the mean accuracy.

```
### Could the true mean of A accuracy be 0.8506?
> t.test(A.acc, mu=0.8506)
    One Sample t-test
data: A.acc
t = 1.2195, df = 9, p-value = 0.2537
alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0.8506
95 percent confidence interval:
    0.8470088 0.8625912
sample estimates:
mean of x
    0.8548
```

We cannot reject the null hypothesis that the mean of A accuracy is equal to 0.8506. The t-test says that the true mean of A accuracy could be between 0.8470088 and 0.8625912, which is the confidence interval at the significance level $\alpha = 5$ %.

```
### Could the true mean of the difference be equal to zero?
> t.test(A.acc, B.acc)
Welch Two Sample t-test
data: A.acc and B.acc
t = 0.8157, df = 17.803, p-value = 0.4254
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
-0.006625999 0.015025999
sample estimates:
mean of x mean of y
0.8548 0.8506
```

We cannot reject the null hypothesis that the mean of the difference between A accuracy and B accuracy is equal to 0. The t-test says that the true mean of the difference could be between -0.006625999 and 0.015025999, which is the confidence interval at the significance level $\alpha = 5$ %.

Task and data management

- 1 Time management
- 2 Formulating the task
- 3 Getting data
- 4 The more data, the better
- **5** Feature engineering
- 6 Curse of dimensionality

Methods and evaluation

- Contraction Contractica Con
- 8 Development cycle
- 9 Evaluation
- Optimizing learning parameters
- Overfitting
- The more classifiers, the better
- ① Theoretical aspects of ML

(1) Time management

How much time do particular steps take?



- Precise formulation of the task
- What are the objects of the task?
- What are the target values of the task?

- Gather data
- Assign true classification
- Clean it
- Preprocess it

If we don't have enough data

- **cross-validation** The data set *Data* is partitioned into subsets of equal size. In the *i*-th step of the iteration, the *i*-th subset is used as a test set, while the remaining parts from the training set.
- bootstrapping New data sets Data₁, ..., Data_k are drawn from Data with replacement, each of the same size as Data. In the *i*-th iteration, Data_i forms the training set, the remaining examples in Data form the test set

- Understand the properties of the classified objects
 - How they interact with the target class
 - How they interact each other
 - How they interact with a given ML algorithm
 - Domain specific
- Feature selection manually
- Feature selection automatically: generate large number of features and then filter some of them out

- A lot of features \longrightarrow high dimensional spaces
- The more features, the more difficult to extract useful information
- Dimensionality increases \longrightarrow predictive power of classifier reduces
- The more features, the harder to train a classifier
- Remedy: feature selection, dimensionality reduction

Which one to choose?

First, identify appropriate learning paradigm

- Classification? Regression?
- Supervised? Unsupervised? Mix?
- If classification, are class proportions even or skewed?

In general, no learning algorithm dominates all others on all problems.

- Test developer's expectation
- What does it work and what doesn't?

Model assessment

• **Metrics** and **methods** for performance evaluation How to evaluate the performance of a classifier? How to obtain reliable estimates?

Classifier comparison

How to compare the relative performance among competing classifiers?

Classifier selection

Which classifier should we prefer?

(10) Optimizing learning parameters

Searching for the best classifier, i.e.

- adapting ML algorithms to the particulars of a training set
- optimizing classifier performance

Optimization techniques

- Greedy search
- Beam search
- Grid search
- Gradient descent
- Quadratic programming

ESSLLI '2013

• . . .

To avoid it using

- cross-validation
- feature engineering
- parameter tuning
- regularization a standard method to penalize classifiers with more complex structure

(12) The more classifiers, the better

• Build an ensemble of classifiers using

- different learning algorithm
- different training data
- different features
- **Analyze** their performance: complementarity implies potential improvement
- Combine classification results (e.g. majority voting).

Examples of ensemble techniques

- bagging works by taking a bootstrap sample from the training set
- **boosting** works by changing weights on the training set

Computational learning theory aims to understand fundamental issues in the learning process. Mainly the issues on

- How computationally hard is the learning problem?
- How much data do we need to be confident that good performance on that data really means something?

Block 5.3 Overview of the course

- 1.1 Relation between NLP and ML
- 1.2 Course outline
- 1.3 Non-technical view on ML
- 1.4 Dealing with data
- 1.5 Intro to R
- Summary



- 2.1 A few necessary R functions
- 2.2 Mathematics
- 2.3 Decision tree learning Theory
- 2.4 Decision tree learning Practice
- Summary



- 3.1 Formal foundations of ML
- 3.2 Naive Bayes learning Theory
- 3.3 Naive Bayes learning Practice
- 3.4 Evaluation of a classifier
- Summary



- 4.1 Information Theory and Feature Selection
- 4.2 SVM learning Theory
- 4.3 SVM learning Practice



- 5.1 Cross-validation and confidence intervals
- 5.2 13 points you cannot miss on the way to ML
- 5.3 Overview of the course



COL task

Features	Algorithm	Accuracy (%)
$A_1,, A_{11}$		
	DT	87.8
	NB	85.3
	SVM (kernel=linear, cost=10)	86.8
	SVM (kernel=linear, cost=100)	86.8
$A_1,, A_{10}$		
	DT	85.6
	NB	85.6
	SVM (kernel=linear, cost=10)	85.4
	SVM (kernel=linear, cost=100)	85.4

You are at the very beginning... Good luck!!!